

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
1	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Consider both long and short term impacts	Criteria
2	10/2/2008	meeting notes	High flow mobility of fine sediments	Criteria
3	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Constructability	Criteria
4	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Size of area (footprint)	Criteria
5	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Height of stockpile	Criteria
6	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Cost	Criteria
7	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Water needs for long vs. short slurry lines	Criteria
8	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Impacts to residents (Community Acceptability)	Criteria
9	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Groundwater quality	Criteria
10	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Water supply	Criteria
11	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Safeguard existing infrastructure	Criteria
12	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Recreation (minimize impacts to)	Criteria
13	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Land availability	Criteria
14	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Upland disposal (look for areas outside the floodplain)	Reformulation
15	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Optimize with a site combo package	Criteria
16	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Schedule impacts	Criteria
17	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Rarity of biological/natural resources	Criteria
18	10/2/2008	meeting notes	Floodplain function (% of floodplain width affected)	Criteria
19	10/20/2008	Jodi Heath	Incorrect reference to Meiners Oaks (reported as "Calleguas")	Editorial
20	10/20/2008	Jodi Heath	Tree counts don't include Sycamores	Data gap
21	10/20/2008	Jodi Heath	Species included in report did not include Quail, Road Runner, Cranes, Kingfisher, and many other snakes.	Data gap
22	10/20/2008	Jodi Heath	Perception is that the environmental evaluation was quick and not thorough	Data gap
23	10/20/2008	Jodi Heath	Can Aspen take another look at sites?	General
24	10/20/2008	Jodi Heath	Have we contacted all affected home owners?	Data gap
25	10/20/2008	Mike Hollebrands	Water quality	Criteria
26	10/20/2008	Mike Hollebrands	Water production	Criteria
27	10/20/2008	Mike Hollebrands	Smell of organic matter	Criteria
28	10/20/2008	Mike Hollebrands	Access to our facilities	Criteria
29	10/20/2008	Mike Hollebrands	Any alteration to the natural flow of water through the river or its subsidiaries	Criteria
30	10/20/2008	Mike Hollebrands	Property value deterioration at the northern and southern most end of MODA	Criteria
31	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Our understanding that the disposal site identified as the preferred alternative were intended to serve as temporary storage, and would erode downstream to nourish the floodplain and /or flush into the ocean during future floods.	Criteria
32	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Since MODA is a longer term storage site we recommend using the BRDA sites.	Criteria
33	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Community concerns should be the highest priority in order to assure the success of this project.	Criteria
34	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Environmental impacts	Criteria
35	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Constructability	Criteria
36	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Footprint	Criteria

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
37	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Height of stockpile	Criteria
38	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Cost	Criteria
39	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Short term vs. long term impacts/benefits (high ranking)	Criteria
40	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	High flow mobility	Criteria
41	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Community acceptance/resident impacts (high ranking)	Criteria
42	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Groundwater quality	Criteria
43	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Water supply	Criteria
44	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Safeguard existing infrastructure	Criteria
45	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Recreation	Criteria
46	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Land availability	Criteria
47	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Upland disposal	Reformulation
48	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Optimize by combining MODA and BRDA 1&2	General
49	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	MODA would require careful design: Two mounds at MODA appear problematic. Slope stability is doubtful if not impossible. Aesthetics are problematic. Revegetating and replacing existing oaks and sycamores will be expensive and likely unsuccessful. Rerouting Cozy Dell could cause impacts to the right bank of Ventura River and affect the existing pool habitat.	General
50	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	MODA would have significant impacts to the community	General
51	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	The primary trailhead for the Ventura River/EI Nido Preserve would be impacted.	Criteria
52	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Explore other site combinations and potentially expand site footprints - Attached to letter - simple analysis of capacities for MODA/BRDA and combinations. 1 - Eas: MODA could hold entire slurry volume. 2 - BRDA 1 and 2 could hold entire slurry volume (with additional design).	General
53	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Slurry disposal component be designed to avoid permanent alterations to the landscape and ecosystem.	Criteria
54	10/20/2008	Paul Jenkin	Short term impacts from river transport of fine sediment will provide greater long-term ecosystem restoration value that attempting to permanently stabilize this material.	Criteria
55	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	the MODA is NOT our preferred site, nor do we believe there is any good reason other than a potentially lower cost, for it to be THE preferred site	General
56	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	we question the proposed design of the levee.	General

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
57	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	a number of concerns for the safety, wellbeing, livability and property value decline that the proposed MODA disposal site and Oso Road Levee are likely to impact	Criteria
58	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Instead of washing 6 million cubic yards of silt from behind Matilija Dam to the ocean as was the original intent of the project (as proposed in the previous 4 BRDA sites), disposal in one location of 90 acres, 15-20' in height will result in a permanent disposal site along Rice Road between Deveraux and Lomita Roads.	General
59	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Substantial traffic increases along Maricopa Highway, Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road are likely to cause severe noise and safety impacts during the 2-4 year construction period.	General
60	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	The pumping of slurry for 2 more years will likely result in constant loud noise pollution for nearby residents.	General
61	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	The anaerobic smell of the bottom of a lake will very likely permeate all surrounding neighborhoods for months, perhaps years. This has the potential to render these properties unsellable, creating a financial hardship for property owners who may be unable to sell, or even rent them due to the smell. This condition will certainly exist for the entire disposal period, and well afterward.	General
62	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Fine silt particles when dry are likely to create airborne dust with the potential to be an allergen for nearby residents	General
63	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Any blockage to Cozy Dell Creek or MacDonald Drain resulting from inadequate drainage being created through or around this disposal site or future maintenance thereof may cause the 2000 cubic feet per second of water carried by these drainages during any substantial rain to back up onto Meyer, N. Rice and Oso Rd. properties, causing flooding.	General
64	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Access to Ventura River recreational areas will be diminished during construction	General

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
65	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Adjacent horse properties will have limited, if any access to nearby trails in the river.	General
66	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Horses on these properties are likely to be disturbed by excessive noise and traffic.	General
67	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	The Ventura River view shed from Rice Road will be compromised by this disposal site, potentially diminishing property values.	Criteria
68	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	The Meiners Oaks Water District wells, which serve this community could be impacted by the disposal in a negative way. Certainly access to these wells must be addressed.	Criteria
69	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Lack of trust of engineers prediction that there would be no negative impacts (reference to Santa Ana Road levee in Oak View)	General
70	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	we do hold the County of Ventura, The Army Corps of Engineers, and all other parties who, by their agreement to put our homes and our lives in this position, liable for any and all resulting damages (reference lawsuit by Oak View residents).	General
71	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	we request that the approved BRDA plan be used for the disposal of the Matilija Dam sediment even at a potential higher cost.	General
72	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Design proposed is too close to property lines of all Oso MO Levee Rd. properties adjacent to the River.	MO Levee
73	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Noise due to construction and slurry piping will likely be unbearable for a period of up to 4 years	MO Levee
74	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Traffic on Rice, Meyer and Oso roads will be increased substantially by many more vehicles and especially large, noisy trucks for potentially two years.	MO Levee

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
75	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Being small 2 lane roads, added construction traffic presents a safety hazard to residents of these roads and their children.	MO Levee
76	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Residents with horses housed along Oso Road will be impacted by disturbance to their horses, potentially adding a burden of expense for finding suitable boarding facilities.	MO Levee
77	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Access to the Ventura River recreational area will be limited during construction.	MO Levee
78	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Proposed new trail alignments will be too close to private property on Oso Rd.	MO Levee
79	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	View shed will be lost from many Oso Road homes where the levee is at its highest.	MO Levee
80	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Residents will be prevented from selling or renting their properties during construction as no one would want to live in these conditions, so there is no escape for property owners from the sound, privacy and traffic impacts being proposed for our neighborhood.	MO Levee
81	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	Property values will plummet during and after construction due to the loss of view shed and potential perception of flood dangers from the dam removal in spite of the levee.	MO Levee
82	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	If a levee is indeed necessary for protection of this neighborhood, we ask that it be aligned alongside the east bank of the river, as far away from Oso Road properties as is possible	MO Levee
83	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	the access road to the Robles Diversion facility (on the west side of the river) be used for all levee, slurry pipeline and diversion dam construction.	MO Levee
84	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	ask that the slurry pipeline and recreation trail be aligned along the same course, alongside the active river channel and away from Oso Road properties.	MO Levee

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
85	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	we collectively implore the County of Ventura and all partnering entities to seriously consider the many consequences to those of us who will be impacted, and focus future planning for the project on methodology that will consider our concerns and minimize impacts to our community	Criteria
86	10/20/2008	Residents of the Rice Road, Meyer Road and Oso Road neighborhood in Meiners Oaks	inform us of future plans, as this project was well underway before any of us were informed, and we feel we have a right to know how the County's plans will affect our lives and our property.	General
87	11/3/2008	CFG	Mitigation and feasibility...	Criteria
88	11/3/2008	CFG	Number of oak trees permanently impacted / acres of woodland permanently impacted	Criteria
89	11/3/2008	CFG	Number of sycamore trees / acres of woodland permanently impacted	Criteria
90	11/3/2008	CFG	Acres of temporary impact	Criteria
91	11/3/2008	CFG	acres of permanent impact	Criteria
92	11/3/2008	CFG	acres of alluvial scrub permanently impacted	Criteria
93	11/3/2008	CFG	acres of alluvial scrub temporarily impacted (e.g. fines would be mobilized and the area would be allowed to recover)	Criteria
94	11/3/2008	CFG	Conceptual flood interval design for placement of fine sediments	General
95	11/3/2008	CFG	Number of special status species (Threatened and Endangered, and Species of Special Concern) that would be impacted	Criteria
96	11/3/2008	CFG	Storage permanence (permanence should be avoided)	Criteria
97	11/3/2008	CFG	Likelihood of successful re-vegetation	Criteria
98	11/3/2008	CFG	Ease of mobility (>=10 year frequency)	Criteria
99	11/3/2008	CFG	Concentrate on areas containing early seral alluvial scrub	Criteria
100	11/3/2008	CFG	Use portions and combinations of site	General
101	11/3/2008	CFG	Minimize footprint by increasing height where feasible	Criteria
102	10/20/2008	OVLC	Mobilization of fine sediment stockpiles in large flow events	Criteria
103	10/20/2008	OVLC	Impacts to resilient vegetation communities versus mature heritage vegetation communities such as oak and sycamore woodlands	Criteria
104	10/20/2008	OVLC	Cost for different types re-vegetation (woodland or scrub) should be factored into alternative cost.	General
105	10/20/2008	OVLC	Acceptance and support of the project design by the community	Criteria
106	10/20/2008	OVLC	Cost	Criteria
107	10/20/2008	OVLC	Disruption to existing recreational use.	Criteria
108	10/20/2008	OVLC	We recommend that a combination of three sites be considered. Prioritizing disposal at BRDA subsite 1 and subsite 2 with over flow use of a reduced MODA East	General

Comments on MODA/BRDA Criteria Development
for the Fine Disposal Sediment areas from Stakeholder Group

Comment Number	Comment Date	Commenter	Comment	Comment Category
109	10/14/2008	John Gottesman	Opposed to the MODA slurry disposal site	General
110	10/14/2008	John Gottesman	Support alternatives that provide for less permanent impacts	Criteria
110	10/14/2008	John Gottesman	Privacy	Criteria
111	10/14/2008	John Gottesman	Impact to residents	Criteria
111	10/14/2008	John Gottesman	Against any disturbance in the Riverbed whatsoever	General
112	10/8/2008	VRCWD	Our water well field and treatment facilities are adjacent to the BRDA Sub-Site 1. The affects to these facilities need to be included including water quality and Hydrology	General